UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/556,092	11/09/2005	Markus Oles	280378US0PCT	4755
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			LIGHTFOOT, ELENA TSOY	
ALEAANDKIA	1, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/08/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/556,092	OLES ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	ELENA Tsoy LIGHTFOOT	1792
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 L This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4 and 5 is/are withd 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 and 6-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 09 November 2005 is/Applicant may not request that any objection to the	rawn from consideration. or election requirement. er. 'are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object	•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		, ,
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) △ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documer 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documer 3. △ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 1792

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 16, 2009 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

Amendment filed on December 1, 2009 has been entered. Claims 1-19 are pending in the application. Claims 4-5 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Claims examined on the merits are 1-3, and 6-19.

Abstract

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because:

- (i) Instead of three paragraphs, it should be written in a single paragraph.
- (ii) The phrase "especially easy to clean easily with removal ..." should be changed to "especially easy to clean easily with removal ...".
 - (III) paragraph 2, line 8, "The use of microparticles hydrophobized with fluorosilanes in **the known processes** for producing self-cleaning

Art Unit: 1792

<u>surfaces</u> makes it possible to produce surfaces". It is not clear which part of the abstract constitutes Applicants' invention;

(IV) does not disclose **chemical compounds** and **process steps**.

Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because it does not contain description of Drawings

1-3.

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

Art Unit: 1792

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 2. Rejection of claims 1-3, and 6-19 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement has been withdrawn due to amendment.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/556,092

Art Unit: 1792

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 5

4. Rejection of claims 1-3, and 6-19 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention has been withdrawn due to amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Rejection of claims 1-3, and 6-19 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baumann et al (WO01/74739) has been withdrawn due to amendment.
- 7. Claims 1-3, and 6-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nun et al (US 20020150724).

Nun et al is applied here for the same reasons as set forth in paragraph 11 of the Office Action mailed on 9/16/2009.

As to the substrate being textile, Nun et al discloses that their process may be used for producing self-cleaning surfaces on rigid objects, e.g. *sculptures* (i.e. ceramic, metal, glass substrates), greenhouses of **glass or Plexiglas®** (See P48); or on non-rigid objects, e.g. <u>umbrellas</u> or shower curtains (See P49). It is a common knowledge that umbrellas are typically made of textile*. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the

Art Unit: 1792

process of Nun et al for producing self-cleaning surfaces to textile umbrellas because Nun et al does not limit its teaching to non-textile umbrellas.

- 8. Claims 1-3, and 6-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nun et al '724 in view of Baumann et al '739 for the reasons of record set forth in paragraph 11 of the Office Action mailed on 9/16/2009.
- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- * US 5630846 to Hara et al is cited here to show that treating **textile umbrella** (See column 26, lines 17-18) with water- and oil-repellent agent (See Abstract) was known in the art.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, and 6-19 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ELENA Tsoy LIGHTFOOT whose telephone number is (571)272-1429. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Art Unit: 1792

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Elena Tsoy Lightfoot, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1792

January 6, 2010

/Elena Tsoy Lightfoot/